

# **Pupil Premium strategy statement 2022-23**

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (along with tutoring funding and recovery premium for the 2022-23 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

#### **School overview**

Detail	Data
School name	Livingstone Primary School
Number of pupils in school	217 (196 without Nursery)
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	18%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers	2021-24
Date this statement was published	October 2022
Date on which it will be reviewed	September 2023
Statement authorised by	Rebecca Willard
Pupil premium lead	Lianne Marshall
Governor / Trustee lead	Jemma Simpson

# **Funding overview**

Detail	Amount (financial year 2021-22)	Amount (financial year 2022-23)
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£69,780	£59,301
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£5,075	£2,538 (added to targeted academic support)
School lead tutoring grant for academic year	£6,480	£5,994 (added to targeted academic support)
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£0	£0
Total budget for this academic year	£80,120	£67,833

## Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

#### Statement of intent

In planning the use of Pupil Premium, we have taken into account the context of the school, the needs of the children in school, the effect of the Coronavirus Pandemic on pupils' progress, attainment and wellbeing and the EEF guidance on the most effective use of funding for recovery and pupil premium.

#### References

https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/guidance-for-teachers/covid-19-resources/best-evidence-on-impact-of-covid-19-on-pupil-attainment

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/recovery-premium-funding/recovery-premium-funding/https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/106\_6915/Using\_pupil\_premium\_guidance\_for\_school\_leaders.pdf

https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/guidance-for-teachers/using-pupil-premium

#### Context of the school

Livingstone has 217 pupils on roll from 3-11, with a PAN of 30. Classes are single form. The school has no distinct religious denomination and its pupils are predominantly white British although the ethnicity of the school is increasingly diverse with 7% of pupils that are EAL. The school is located in the small town of Mossley on the border of Tameside.

The school serves a mixed catchment area. 34% of pupils reside in the top 20% most deprived areas on the Index of Multiple Deprivation. 10% of children are in IDACI deprivation band 1 (most deprived) and a further 26% in IDACI band 2.

Currently there are 18% of pupils in receipt of Pupil Premium with variations between cohorts. The percentage of pupils in receipt of Pupil Premium varies widely between cohorts. Attainment on entry is variable. 15% of pupils are on the SEN register.

#### **Objectives of Pupil Premium Spending**

We aim to ensure that every child leaves Livingstone excited about learning and determined to succeed. We want to equip them with good Literacy and Numeracy skills, as well as self-confidence and resilience. We target the use of Pupil Premium to successfully bridge the gap in levels of attainment between PP pupils and non-PP pupils. Careful analysis of internal and external pupil data, historical attainment and progress rates was undertaken to ensure that these funds are used to maximum effect. We identified opportunities for children to have an enriched and enhanced experience of the curriculum including musical tuition, visit to a residential activity centre and out of school learning.

Common barriers to learning for disadvantaged children that are evident within our community are: less support at home, weak language and communication skills, lack of confidence, more frequent behaviour difficulties and attendance and punctuality issues. There may also be complex family situations that prevent children from flourishing. The challenges are varied and there is no "one size fits all". We will ensure that all teaching staff are involved in the analysis of data and identification of pupils, so that they are fully aware of strengths and weaknesses across the school.

#### **Principles**

- We ensure that teaching and learning opportunities meet the needs of all the pupils
- We ensure that appropriate provision is made for pupils who belong to vulnerable groups, this
  includes ensuring that the needs of socially disadvantaged pupils are adequately assessed and
  addressed.
- In making provision for socially disadvantaged pupils, we recognise that not all pupils who receive free school meals will be socially disadvantaged.

- We also recognise that not all pupils who are socially disadvantaged are registered or qualify for free school meals. We reserve the right to allocate the Pupil Premium funding to support any pupil or groups of pupils the school has legitimately identified as being socially disadvantaged.
- Pupil premium funding will be allocated following a needs analysis which will identify priority classes, groups or individuals. Limited funding and resources means that not all children receiving free school meals will be in receipt of pupil premium interventions at one time.

## **Priorities for Educational Recovery from Covid-19**

Over the Covid-19 pandemic, children's learning has been disrupted in an extraordinary and significant way. Schools in England experienced unprecedented disruptions due to the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic. During these periods of partial closure, many pupils experienced some form of remote learning. Even when schools re-opened fully, significant challenges remained due to high levels of staff and pupil absence. Research shows that COVID-19-related disruptions have worsened educational inequalities: the attainment gap between disadvantaged pupils and their peers has grown since the onset of the pandemic.

#### **Key findings from The Impact of COVID-19 on Learning (EEF document)**

- COVID-19-related disruption has negatively impacted the attainment of all pupils, particularly those from disadvantaged backgrounds.
- There is evidence that the attainment gap between disadvantaged students and their classmates has grown.
- There is some evidence that in primary schools, younger year groups (Key Stage 1 pupils) have been the most significantly affected, with lower attainment than previous cohorts across all subjects.
- Most evidence shows that despite some recovery by summer 2021, on average pupils were not performing as well in both maths and reading as pre-pandemic cohorts.
- Aside from the impact on attainment, which this report focuses on, teachers have frequently reported concerns around the effect on pupil wellbeing. There is also emerging evidence that suggests the pandemic has negatively impacted children's mental health.

The evidence is clear that missed face-to-face attendance causes significant harm to children and young people's education, life chances and mental and physical health. This harm disproportionately affects children and young people from the most disadvantaged backgrounds. We have used a mix of our own data analysis, research from EEF and LA priorities to plan for our recovery.

## Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	Pupils have below average communication skills.
2	Data analysis shows in school gaps against non-PP pupils in certain subjects in certain year groups.
3	Pupils have lower than expected basic maths and English skills and knowledge eg phonics, times tables, number bonds.
4	Some children have unmet Social and Emotional needs and need mental health support.
5	Some of the children eligible for Pupil Premium also have Special Educational Needs
6	Children are not school ready and are coming into school not able to learn
7	Home environment does not always support children coming to school ready to learn and regular school attendance and punctuality.
8	Limited opportunities for children to access enrichment opportunities
9	The curriculum needs further development to support children to remember essential knowledge and skills supporting all children to make links in their learning and make good progress

#### **Intended Outcomes**

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Improved outcomes for all pupils including those who qualify for PP.	Teaching and learning continues to develop through actions identified in the School Improvement Plan. This ensures that all children have strong teaching which enables strong progress and educational recovery. Gap continues to close and PP pupils make accelerated progress. The curriculum continues to be developed across school.
Pupils are supported to improve their speech and language to enhance communication.	Pupils are able to express themselves and therefore organise their thoughts clearly in order to communicate orally and in writing.
Children who are PP and also have EHCPs are supported to make the best possible progress with appropriate 1:1 support.	Children make good progress in their own targets and next steps in learning.
Children are calm and ready to focus on learning so that they can make good progress.	Children who are in need of emotional and mental health support are supported appropriately and enabled to return to learning quickly so that they make good progress in learning.
Children in the early years are supported to make accelerated progress and support the prime areas of learning so that they are then able to make progress.	Children are quickly settled into Nursery. Children who qualify for EYPP are able to spend additional time in Nursery to support their learning and development.
Children's home environment is improved and routines are established. Children come to school ready to learn.	Families supported and EHA meetings, CiN meetings and Core Groups attended meaning that the home situation for children improves
Attendance and punctuality improves so that children are able to make better progress and settle into a strong routine.	Attendance and punctuality improves.
Children have the opportunity to access wider opportunities	Children are able to pursue their talents and interests beyond the curriculum.

## Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (tutoring funding and recovery premium) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

## High Quality Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

The evidence tells us that high quality teaching is the most important factor when it comes to improving attainment outcomes, particularly for disadvantaged pupils. We will focus on developing teaching practice over a sustained period. EEF recommends that 50% of pupil premium funding is used to support this. This will include:

- improving literacy and mathematics outcomes;
- securing effective professional development;
- developing the curriculum further to ensure it engages and inspires enabling children to become enthusiastic learners.

Budgeted cost: £30,010

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Networking meetings for English lead	Professional development of English lead to ensure they are able to be upskilled in the best of current practice and able to disseminate this to staff.	2,3,9
£240 and cover £300	Duefoccional development of the EVEC staff and of the CLT	1 2 2 6
Training for EYFS leads and for SLT on the new EYFS Framework	Professional development of the EYFS staff and of the SLT in order to further improve teaching and learning in the EYFS ensuring children get off to a strong start.	1,2,3,6
£470 and cover £300		
Training for curriculum leads for Science, History and Geography to ensure they understand how their subject starts in the EYFS  £270 and cover £300	Professional development for the History, Geography and Science leads to ensure they understand how their subject is taught in the EYFS and support them tp plan for curriculum progression.	2,9
Top up nursery sessions for those	Enable pupils to spend more time in the Nursery	1,6
children eligible for EYPP	Environment and support early learning and development.	1,0
£1000		
TLR for PP lead £3100	This will ensure the strategic use of PP and ensure the funding is used to the best effect	2,5
Resources to support the development of maths mastery teaching	Purchase the resources needed to ensure that we embed a maths mastery approach across school – including White Rose Maths and Power Maths	2,3,9
£1200		
Purchase a handwriting and fine motor control scheme for the EYFS	Support fine motor development in the EYFS which will lead to improved writing standards	2,6,9
£110		
Regular subject leader time to support curriculum development £3900	Allow regular release time to support subject leaders to develop the curriculum further and to rewrite curriculum planning	9
Ogden trust science network	Allow subject knowledge of the science lead to be	2,9
meetings and science conferences	developed and access to free resources to support practical work in science.	2,3
Cover £500		
RE networks	Allow subject knowledge of RE lead to be developed and this to feed into wider curriculum development	2,9
England development for	Company the provided Characteristic Company	2.2.0
Professional development for new Year 6 teacher in writing moderation	Support the new Year 6 teacher to know what the expected and greater depth standard looks like in writing so that teaching can be sharply focused on gaps	2,3.9
£400 cover £300		
Enhanced the progress for selected children through attending an able writers' day	Support the progress and development of 6 children in Y4 and Y5 by attending an Able Writers Day to work with a poet.	2,8
£200		
Educater assessment software subscription to support accurate assessment and gaps analysis	Subscribe to the Educater software to enable assessments for all children from Y1-6 across the curriculum. This will also support gaps analysis and support this to inform teaching.	2,3

£2000		
Use of PIRA and Puma at end of academic year to allow children's to be assessed £1500	This will allow us to assess children's academic performance and gaps identified to support quick transition into next year group – used in summer with Y3,4,5	2,3
Access to Young Shakespeare performance	Y6 to watch a Young Shakespeare performance that then feeds into their English work across the term.	1,8,9
Create a Top 10 reads list for each year group £1500	Create a Top 10 Reads list for each year group and resource this with multiple copies of the books. Children encouraged to read as many of the books as possible over the year.	1,2,3,7,8,9
Extra TA support in class £12,000	Increased TA hours in order to support high quality teaching and learning in the classroom	1,2,3,5

## Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Under this category, we will focus on providing the small group tutoring and interventions using our inhouse intervention teacher. The EEF recommends that 25% of pupil premium is used to support this. To this, we have added our tutoring funding (funded at 60% for the academic year 2022-23) and our Covid recovery funding too.

## Budgeted cost: £14,645 + £5,994 (tutoring at 60%) + £2,538 (recovery) = £23,177

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Early Language development and	We have noticed an increased issue with children's	1,2,6
speech therapy	language development as a result of lockdown,	
	particularly in the Early Years. This is also borne out by	
£3,000	research by the EEF. We therefore recruited an	
	experienced Speech and Language Teacher to provide	
	intensive support.	
Individual and small group	Use of in school intervention tutor to meet needs of	2,3,5
tutoring	individuals to catch up and support learning. Support for	
	catch up and individual tutoring with a focus on core	
£16,677	subjects.	
Educational Psychology support	Children are well supported and needs met due to expert	2,5
	advice.	
£3,500		

# Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Under this category we will focus on mental health support and work to improve attendance. The EEF recommends that 25% of pupil premium is used to support this.

# **Budgeted cost: £14,645**

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Attendance Officer	Support attendance and punctuality of children through	7
	following up lates and non-attendance, holding panel	
£795	meetings.	
1:1 mentoring for former LAC	Mental health support to support the transition to high	4,5
child as requested by Virtual	school.	
School		

£561		
Music lessons	Wider opportunities provided for children through the whole class brass and choral lessons and individual piano	8
Brass 18% = £206	lessons – PP used to fund for those children who are eligible and at 18% (due to % of PP children) for whole	
Choral 18% = £183	class sessions.	
Piano £390		
Learning Mentor	Support attendance and punctuality of children through	4,6,7
	following up lates and non-attendance, holding panel	
Some of salary £8,510	meetings. Support for behaviour / social skills and emotional wellbeing.	
Trips and Enrichment	Children able to pursue interests and talents across the	8
	wider curriculum and have the opportunity to engage in	
Robinwood £3000	clubs, trips and residential visits. This includes playing a	
	brass instrument, choral tuition and opportunities across	
Other visits £1000	the MCSP	

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

## **Outcomes for disadvantaged pupils**

In the EYFS, the end of year data for 2023 shows that 50% of pupils eligible for FSM achieved a GLD compared to 70% of pupils not eligible for FSM. However, this data is based on 2 children being eligible for FSM in comparison to 27 children who are not eligible. This thus makes this data unreliable. In actual fact, 4 children were eligible for FSM by the end of the year and 75% of these attained a GLD, meaning this group did better that non-FSM children and better than non-FSM eligible children nationally.

In Year 1 phonics screening check, there were 2 children eligible for FSM, neither of whom reached the expected standard. This is in part due to other factors, one of them is on the SEN register and we will be applying for an EHCP in the future and another had a very sporadic attendance at school before he came to us mid-way through Year 1 so has gaps in his understanding. This and the small numbers involved does not make this a reliable comparison. By the resit in Year 2, all 6 children with FSM had reached the required standard beating this figure nationally and the national figure for non-FSM children nationally.

In the KS1, end of year 2 SATs, 6 children are FSM eligible. The percentage for meeting the expected standard in reading is broadly inline with this group at an LA level and nationally. In writing, it is below LA and national for the same group. This is due to issues with fine motor skills and the presentation of handwriting which has not reached the appropriate standard due to difficulties with fine motor, not helped by a lack of early years experiences for this cohort due to the pandemic. It is also affected by several children being on the pathway for ADHD referrals. In contrast, in maths, the FSM eligible children achieved better that non-FSM children in school and nationally. This shows that it was a specific issue around writing that impacted on results.

At KS2, the group of disadvantaged children is large enough to be more significant as it is 14/30 children. In reading this group of children achieved better than the disadvantaged group nationally and better than the non-disadvantaged group nationally. In writing, this group performed in line with the same group nationally but below the non-disadvantaged nationally. In maths, this group performed better than the same group nationally but below the non-disadvantaged nationally. In combined data, this group achieved better than the same group nationally but not as well as the non-disadvantaged children nationally.